# Template for statement of pupil premium strategy – SEN schools

1. Summary information								
School	Valence Scl	nool		Type of SEN (eg.PMLD/SLD/MLD etc.)	PD			
Academic Year	2016-2017	Total PP budget	£22,745	Date of most recent PP Review	November 16			
Total number of pupils	103	Number of pupils eligible for PP	21	Date for next internal review of this strategy	December 16			

2. Current attainment								
	Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible for PP						
% on track with targets in communication								
% on track with targets in maths	91%	94%						
% progress specific to school setting								

3. Ba	3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)							
All s	students at Valence face significant barriers to learning because of their individual conditions – these barriers are of greater impact than those caused by socio-economic factors							
In-sch	In-school barriers							
A.	Ensuring consistency support staff knowledge of English and Maths teaching							
B.	Ensuring regular reading/maths activities daily – especially for those above KS2							
C.								
Extern	External barriers							
D.	Physical and medical disability							

4. O	4. Outcomes							
	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria						
A.	To improve progress for Pupil Premium students in English & Maths	Improved progress						
B.	Regular reading/maths activities daily	ERIC, Numicon and HLA activities						
C.	To ensure wider knowledge of English/Maths skills (in other subject areas)	ERIC, maths TA in other subjects						
D.	Better knowledge in Support Staff	Training for SSA's and TA's						

# 5. Planned expenditure

Academic year 2016-2017

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

# i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence & rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
More specialist maths staff. ( School direct Mathematics trainee) [Relates to barrier D]	Whole school and individual	The addition of a maths school direct student allowed pupils to received targeted high quality teaching from a specialist. More time is being spent on providing individual resource for pupil to further support their learning both inside and outside the classroom.	Tracking of pupils' progress and continual reviews with SRD. Pupil input and feedback on implementation	НМ	
Continuation of a Reading TA [Relates to barrier D]	Whole School and individual	The reading TA allows children to receive targeted high quality teaching from a specialist. More time is spent on providing individual resources for children	Tracking of pupils' progress and continual reviews with SRD. Pupil input and feedback on	MR	

# Total budgeted cost

### ii. Targeted support

Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence & rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Additional Maths resources Dynamo Maths programme. [Relates to barrier C, B and A]	Individual support for students weekly	Pupils using dynamo maths made significate improvements and all pupils ended up working the upper quartile range.	Staff will have training so they can support students outside of lesson time. Will focus on Keyworkers so can work in keyworker time or in HLA. New Primary teachers given logins and using it in class. Alongside tracking of pupils' progress and continual reviews with SRD. Pupil input and feedback on implementation	Hm	July 2017
Further training on Numicon for maths TA [Relates to barrier C and A]	Individual support for students weekly	Numicon is visual resource which supports students in learning their fundamental mathematics skills. The addition training would allow the maths TA to provide pupils with targeted high quality individual teaching.	Tracking of pupils' progress and continual reviews with SRD. Pupil input and feedback on implementation	MR	

#### Total budgeted cost

iii. Other approaches (including links to personal, social and emotional wellbeing)							
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence & rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?		
Regular reading sessions daily [Relates to barrier B]	Continuation of ERIC sessions every other day	This had the greatest impact in terms of giving a focus and time to reading – for all students as well as those with PP	ERIC sessions to take place after lunch for 20 minutes.				
		1	Total budge	ted cost			

6. Review of expe	enditure						
Previous Academic Year		2015-2016					
i. Quality of teach	ning for all						
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)				
Introduction of a maths TA [Relates to barrier A in 2015-2016]	Whole school and individual	The addition of a maths TA allowed pupils to received targeted high quality teaching from a specialist. More time is being spent on providing individual resource for pupil to further support their learning both inside and outside the classroom.	More time will be spent on developing the TA's work outside of the class room. A robust monitoring of the TA's impact will developed to provide more in-depth analysis.	£17,651			
ii. Targeted suppo	ort						
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost			
Additional Maths resources Dynamo Maths programme.	Individual support for students weekly	Pupils using dynamo maths made significate improvements and all pupils ended up working the upper quartile range.	More staff will be trained in using dynamo so it can benefit students outside of maths. Looking into programs past NC Level 3 for children who secure NC Level 3.	£668			

iii. Other approach	es (including links t	to personal, social and emotional wellbei	ng)	
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost

_							
7	ΛΑ	М	i t i	in	na	ıa	etail
	Au	u		u	Пa	u	Claii

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to inform the statement above.